

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Dox 1459 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/772,128	01/29/2001	Adam W. Divelbiss	REX-0003	9570
75	90 07/30/2003	•		
Gerow D. Brill, Esq.			EXAMINER	
Reveo, Inc. 85 Executive Boulevard			NGUYEN, DUNG T	
Elmsford, NY 10523		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2871	
			DATE MAILED: 07/30/2003	;

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/772,128

Examiner

Applicant(s)

Art Unit **Dung Nguyen**

2871

Divelbiss et al.

	<u> </u>	
		on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
	for Reply	TO TUDIOS A MONTEURO FROM
	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET INVALIDING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE MUNTH(S) FRUM
- Extens	sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In r	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
- If the p	g date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the	
- Failure	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply at e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the	ne application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
-	eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the date of	nis communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status	•	ı
1) 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This acti	
3) 🗆	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex par	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
	ition of Claims	ı
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-46</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4	4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
	Claim(s)	
		are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
	ation Papers	
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the de	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	to this Office action.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	ner.
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
_	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:	
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea	ocuments have been received in this National Stage au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*S	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	
14)	_	
a)[
15) 🗆	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
Attachm		(DTO 440) Date: No./-)
	lotice of References Cited (PTO-892) lotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)
_	otice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Heview (P10-948) Iformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PT0-1449) Paper No(s).	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:
		o, 🗀 o.i.u

Application/Control Number: 09/772,128 Page 2

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-23, drawn to a system for display three-dimensional imagery, classified in class 349, subclass 05.
 - II. Claims 24-46, drawn to a method of displaying three-dimensional imagery, classified in class 349, subclass 09.
- 2. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the method of displaying three-dimensional imagery in invention II can be used to display an image by a projector that is different from those of the system in Invention I.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Group I further contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Application/Control Number: 09/772,128 Page 3

Art Unit: 2871

a. A system for display three-dimensional imagery having a first and a second amorphous silicon thin film transistor liquid crystal display projectors (claims 3-6).

b. A system for display three-dimensional imagery having a first and a second polysilicon thin film transistor liquid crystal display projectors (claims 7-10, 17-20 and 21-23).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

If Applicant elects group I for examination, Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must also include an identification of the species of group I that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

- 5. Group II further contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
- c. A system for display three-dimensional imagery having a first and a second amorphous silicon thin film transistor liquid crystal display projectors (claims 26-28).
- d. A system for display three-dimensional imagery having a first and a second polysilicon thin film transistor liquid crystal display projectors (claims 30-33, 40-43 and 44-46).

Application/Control Number: 09/772,128 Page 4

Art Unit: 2871

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 24 is generic.

If Applicant elects group II for examination, Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must also include an identification of the species of group I that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

Application/Control Number: 09/772,128

Page 5

Art Unit: 2871

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission

may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Examiner Dung Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-0423. The

Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, The Examiner's

supervisor, Robert H. Kim can be reached on 703-305-3492. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-7730 for regular

communications and 703-308-7726 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

DN 07/29/2

07/28/2003

Dung Nguyen

Patent Examiner

GAU 2871